

Venue/Date - Doc 2009/1092

Assessment Report

Date: 18 September 2000

Applicant: Blawie - all contacts (p. 1/2)

Assessor: W. van der Brugge - (Team 1)

Executive

Note/Remark

12/4.1 - Not completed; see the various notes/remarks hereunder.

13/4.6 - The text has been typed over, however, exactly following the original text.

- A2: the company names are not stated correctly. However, from other questions the exact names can be derived.

- The MOU (describing the relationship between the parties) has not been included. Instead, it is stated that "Relevant parts of this MOU can be disclosed to the State upon request..."

In this respect attention should be drawn to the fact that, currently, the Applicant is negotiating with a third party.

- Sections 8 in relation to the parent companies (N.V. Group N.V. and) of one of the partners, is not complete: B2 and B3 are missing.

Assessment Report

Date: 18 September 2000

Applicant: Beauriv - all contracts (p. 2/2)

Assessor: W. van der Brugge (Team 1)

Paragraph Note/Remark

- Whereas M. P. Spaargaren has been

appointed "Applicant's person for

contract purpose", all submission

forms have been signed by the

authorized person of NS Revisies BV.

and by - only two (!) - authorized

persons of KLM NV. It should be

noted herefrom that Mr. Spaargaren

is not the person who will be authorized

to handle all issues in respect of

this tender procedure. A clarification

would be worthwhile.

13/4.6

(continued)

- See the remarks in relation to non-completed aspects (e.g. B2 and B3).

14/4.12

App. B5 (1 and 2) - See the remark concerning the

signatures and the fact that not

all of the authorized persons of

one of the partners have been signed

Sections C1 and C2

Form A1

Code name Applicant Rood

Overall Assessment:

Subject	Complied	Not complied
Request for Qualification complete		
Exclusion Criteria		
Declaration (Part E)	✓ (2/10/00)	

Detailed Assessment: *

Page / paragraph in Qualification Document	Subject	Assessment		Assessment not compliant if
		Complied	Not complied	
12/4.1	Prescribed format and in accordance with Section 4			Submission found not in compliance
12/4.4	Submission part A, B, C1-C3 on 15 September 2000 before 15.00 hrs local time			Too late
Fax of 1 September	Submission part C4, D, E before 2 October 2000, 10.00 hrs	✓		Too late
12/4.5	Submission in original, 4 copies			Lacking
13/4.6	Submission not be typed over, supplemented or altered	✓		Not in compliance
13/4.6	Questionnaire to be completed in full	✓		Information not provided
13/4.6	Submissions duly signed	✓		Not done
14/4.12	All consortium partners individually to complete Parts B, C, D and E of the Request for Qualification		✓ 2/10/00 (see report)	Not all partners submitted required information

* No additional information required on 25/9/00.