

Venue/Date-DC-2009/1009

Assessment Report

Date: 18 September 2009

Applicant: Guel (p. 1/2)

Assessor: W. van der Brugge (Team 1)

Paragraph

Note/Remark

12/4.1

- The submission forms of Conexion NV and CGTA Conex S.A should have been included in the binder. This does not affect, however, the submission itself.

13/4.6

- The text has been typed over, however, exactly following the original text.
- The consortium outline is missing. Instead, it is stated " We will finalize on the concrete organization shortly after receiving the bidding documents."
- A4: The legal status of the future SPV is not indicated.
- Section B in relation to the parent companies (CGTA S.A, Swedcarier AB and N.V. Verenigd Streekluweren Nederland) are incomplete: B2 and B3 are missing.
- Mr. C. Anker is indicated as authorized officer for Conexion N.V. However, Messrs. Bruggink and Koeckhuis undertook the submissions

* both: N.V. Verenigd Streekluweren Nederland.

Assessment Report

Date: 18 September 2000

Applicant: Geel (p. 2/2)

Assessor: W. van der Brugge (Team 1)

Paragraph

Note/Remark

14/4.12

- Of the consortium members only

57 International AB submitted

parts C, D and E. Can it be

expected that the other consortium

members will submit these parts

before 2 October 2000?

Although the first mentioned

consortium member has submitted

some CV's, nothing has been said

about commitment.

App. 5/C1+C2

- See the remark under 13/4.6 regarding the signatures of Messrs. Braugink and Koehuis.

Form A1

Code name Applicant *Green*

Overall Assessment:

Subject	Complied	Not complied
Request for Qualification complete		
Exclusion Criteria		
Declaration (Part E)		

Detailed Assessment:

Page / paragraph in Qualification Document	Subject	Assessment		Assessment not compliant if
		Complied	Not complied	
12/4.1	Prescribed format and in accordance with Section 4		<i>18/9/00 ✓(Note)</i>	Submission found not in compliance
12/4.4	Submission part A, B, C1-C3 on 15 September 2000 before 15.00 hrs local time	<i>✓</i>		Too late
Fax of 1 September	Submission part C4, D, E before 2 October 2000, 10.00 hrs			Too late
12/4.5	Submission in original, 4 copies	<i>✓</i>		Lacking
13/4.6	Submission not be typed over, supplemented or altered		<i>18/9/00 ✓(Note)</i>	Not in compliance
13/4.6	Questionnaire to be completed in full		<i>18/9/00 ✓(Note)</i>	Information not provided
13/4.6	Submissions duly signed	<i>✓(Note)</i>		Not done
14/4.12	All consortium partners individually to complete Parts B, C, D and E of the Request for Qualification	<i>✓ (Note)</i>		Not all partners submitted required information

Form A1 Exclusion criteria

Code name of Applicant Green.....

Page / paragraph in Qualification Document	Subject	Assessment		Assessment not compliant if
		Complied	Not complied	
App. D 5 / C1 a	Applicant is in state of bankruptcy etc	✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 b	Applicant subject to bankruptcy application	✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 c	Applicant found guilty of offence re professional integrity	✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 d	Applicant has committed grave error	✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 e	Applicant not meeting obligations re social security obligations	✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 f	Applicant not meeting obligations re payment of taxes	✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 g	Applicant has been guilty of making false statements	✓		In the affirmative
App D 5 / C 2 a	Applicant / consortium partner applying only once	✓(note)		In the negative
App D 5 / C 2 b	Applicant / consortium partner not having a material conflict of interest given rise to unfair advantage against any other Applicant	✓		In the negative
App D 5 / C 2 c	Applicant / consortium partner not colluding with any other Applicant or consortium partner	✓		In the negative
App D 5 / C 2 d	Applicant / consortium partner co operating with integrity study	✓		In the negative

Form A2 Exclusion criteria

Applicant (Code name)1	Included for evaluation against completeness, exclusion requirements	Excluded
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		