

Venw/Devo-dot-2009/1088

Assessment Report

Date: 18 September 2000

Applicant: Riid (p.11)

Assessor: W. Van der Bruggen (Team 1)

Paragraph

Note/Remark

12/4.1

- The annexes directly follow the parts to which they are related. Although all parts have been delivered already by 15 September 2000, CV's and commitments of managers for the start-up phase of the company are missing (B and C4).

13/4.6

- See remark under 12/4.1 regarding CV's and commitments.  
 - Sections B in relation to the parent companies (Deutsche Bahn AG and Greiva International Ltd.) are incomplete: B2 and B3 are missing.

Question A4

- The legal status probably will be "beholden vennootschap met beperkte aansprakelijkheid" (5 light error).

# Assessment Report

Date: 18 September 2000

Applicant: A&E (General remark)

Assessor: W. van der Bruggen (Team 1)

In the Qualification Document, chapter 4 sub 6 (p.13) it is stated that "where specified, official supporting documents must be submitted. Strictly, no evidence in relation to e.g. authorized persons is asked for (such as powers of attorney, excerpts of trade registers etc.). Applicant "Rood" submitted such evidence, however, according to my opinion with some failures/mistakes. The question is whether we would ask all Applicants for the necessary evidence or leave the evidence submitted by Applicant "Rood" as it is. The name remark applies to some extent - to Applicant "Geel".

Venw/DAME-DOC-2000/1088

Form A1

Code name Applicant *Geel*

Overall Assessment:

Subject	Complied	Not complied
Request for Qualification complete		
Exclusion Criteria		
Declaration (Part E)		

Detailed Assessment:

Page / paragraph in Qualification Document	Subject	Assessment		Assessment not compliant if
		Complied	Not complied	
12/4.1	Prescribed format and in accordance with Section 4	✓ (Note)		Submission found not in compliance
12/4.4	Submission part A, B, C1-C3 on 15 September 2000 before 15.00 hrs local time	✓		Too late
Fax of 1 September	Submission part C4, D, E before 2 October 2000, 10.00 hrs			Too late
12/4.5	Submission in original, 4 copies	✓		Lacking
13/4.6	Submission not be typed over, supplemented or altered		18/9/00 ✓ (Note)	Not in compliance
13/4.6	Questionnaire to be completed in full		18/9/00 ✓ (Note)	Information not provided
13/4.6	Submissions duly signed	✓ (Note)		Not done
14/4.12	All consortium partners individually to complete Parts B, C, D and E of the Request for Qualification		18/9/00 ✓ (Note)	Not all partners submitted required information

Form A1 Exclusion criteria

Code name of Applicant ..... *Geel* .....

Page / paragraph in Qualification Document	Subject	Assessment		Assessment not compliant if
		Complied	Not complied	
App. D 5 / C1 a	Applicant is in state of bankruptcy etc	(Note) ✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 b	Applicant subject to bankruptcy application	✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 c	Applicant found guilty of offence re professional integrity	✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 d	Applicant has committed grave error	✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 e	Applicant not meeting obligations re social security obligations	✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 f	Applicant not meeting obligations re payment of taxes	✓		In the affirmative
App. D 5/ C1 g	Applicant has been guilty of making false statements	✓		In the affirmative
App D 5 / C 2 a	Applicant / consortium partner applying only once	✓		In the negative
App D 5 / C 2 b	Applicant / consortium partner not having a material conflict of interest given rise to unfair advantage against any other Applicant	✓		In the negative
App D 5 / C 2 c	Applicant / consortium partner not colluding with any other Applicant or consortium partner	✓		In the negative
App D 5 / C 2 d	Applicant / consortium partner co operating with integrity study	✓		In the negative

Form A2 Exclusion criteria

Applicant	Included for evaluation against completeness, exclusion requirements	Excluded
(Code name) 1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		